

Comprehensive Safety Action Plan

Transportation Advisory Board February 18th, 2025









AGENDA

- CSAP Report Update
- CSAP Strategies and Actions
- > Tracking and Monitoring
- Next Steps







Why is the plan important?

1,359

This number represents the total number of people seriously injured or killed on Mesa Streets between 2017 - 2022.

That's enough to fill up three whole sections behind the dugout at Sloan Park.





City of Mesa Public Streets (Excludes Freeways)





Annually, crashes are costing the City of Mesa \$627,498,828



CSAP Building Blocks









Task 1: Project	Task 2: Discovery and	Task 3: Engagement	Task 4: Benchmarking
Management	Data Analysis	and Collaboration	Policies and Processes
 Continuous Project Management Team Meetings 	 Review Background Documents Systemic Safety Analysis High Risk Network Top Collision Profiles Equity Analysis 	 Five Transportation Advisory Board Meetings Two Sustainability and Transportation Committee Meetings Two Phases of Community Touchpoints 	 Highlighting Existing Work Efforts Safe Systems Benchmarking Alignment with Federal Safety Goals and Guidance Alignment with Best Practice Design Standards and Guidance

CSAP Building Blocks





П	_	
••	• •	
••	• •	
••	•	
••	• •	_
	• C	
	• •	
		_



Task 5: Strategy And Project Selections	Task 6: Project Identification	Task 7: Prepare Draft and Final Plan	Task 8: Post Plan Support and Outreach Services		
 Establishing Infrastructure Strategies and Developing Actions Establishing Non- Infrastructure Strategies and Developing Actions Developing Prioritization Methodologies 	 HRN Project Development Systemic Left Turn Phasing Evaluation Countermeasure Effectiveness and Benefit/ Cost Ratio Developing Performance Review Cycle 	 Identifying Funding Opportunities Final Report 	 Community Outreach Safety Pledge 		





CSAP Strategies and Actions

Strategies and Actions Update

Draft November 2024 Plan

- 6 Infrastructure Strategies
 - 15 Actions
- 5 Non-Infrastructure Strategies
 - 29 Actions

February 2025

- 5 Infrastructure Strategies
- 13 Actions
- 4 Non-Infrastructure Strategies
 - 15 Actions





1. Enhance Crossings 2 Actions 2. Reduce Risky Movements 8 Actions

3. Separate Peds & Bikes from Vehicles

2 Actions

4. Design for Safer Speeds3 Actions

5. Support Safer Vehicles1 Action



1. Promote Safer Speeds 2 Actions

2. Increase Road Safety Awareness

8 Actions

3. Prevent DUIs 2 Actions 4. Optimize Data Analytics 3 Actions



Sample Strategy & Action -Infrastructure

Separate Pedestrians & Bikes From Vehicles

Mesa would install buffered and separated bicycle lanes, including pavement markings, green paint, and physical barriers, where there is right of way or pavement space to accommodate a buffer or separation.





Sample Strategy & Action -Infrastructure

Reduce Risky Movements

Mesa would install raised medians to reduce conflict points on arterial roads.





Sample Strategy & Action - Non-Infrastructure

Increase Road Safety Awareness

Mesa would continue and enhance the Road Safety Task Force to be responsible for the CSAP annual report; coordination on implementing non-infrastructure actions (education, enforcement, outreach) that are cross-departmental; engage City of Mesa boards, council, and executive teams; and engage with the public.



Strategy and Action Effectiveness

Action #	Action Name	Source	Description	Estimated Crash Reduction	Applicable Crashes			
Red Red	duce Risky Movements							
1	Raised Medians for Access Control	CMF ID 2220	Install Raised Medians	ans 55% Angle/L				
<u> </u>	Left-in Left-out Operations	CMF ID 11064	Install left-in left-out treatment	33%	Angle/LT crashes			
2	Implement Roundabouts	CMF ID 4868	Conversion of intersection to roundabout	42%	All crashes			
	Protect Left Turn Movements							
6	Permissive to Protected- Permitted	CMF ID 4270	Change permissive left-turn phasing to protected/permissive	14%	LT Crashes			
	Permissive to Protected	CMF ID 333	Change from permissive to protected	99%	Angle/LT Crashes			
	Change 5 - section "doghouse" signal head	CMF ID 7697	Change from 5-section "doghouse" protected/permissive left turn to flashing yellow arrow protected/permissive left turn	25%	LT Crashes			
1	Straight Arrows at Freeways CMF ID 11507		Install/modify wrong way signage	49%	Other (assumed related to on-ramp turning activity)			



Projects were identified, considering:

- HRN Score
- KSI/Mile
- Pedestrian, Bicycle, Motorcycle Crashes
- Predictive Safety Analysis

Project Totals:

- Tier 1 16
- Tier 2 11
- Tier 3 19





- Applied identified strategies to respond to the collision profiles in Mesa and identify improvements specific to each of the project locations.
- 16 Projects address 4.83 fatals and 25.83 serious injury crashes per year.
- Total cost is \$76.5M
- Benefit over a 10-year lifespan is \$241M
- Benefit cost ratio is 3.16 for all projects



Tier 1 Project

Project Names	Annual Fatals	Annual SI	CRF (%)	Annual Benefit	Project Cost	Assumed Lifecycle	B/C
Project A	0.33	1.83	61%	\$ 2,542,071	\$ 4,523,000	10	3.7
Project B	0.50	3.17	41%	\$ 2,652,380	\$ 8,989,000	10	1.9
Project C	0.67	3.83	52%	\$ 4,379,082	\$ 12,619,000	10	2.3
Project D	0.17	1.00	67%	\$ 1,435,657	\$ 4,475,000	10	2.1
Project E	0.17	1.67	47%	\$ 1,164,078	\$ 3,336,000	10	2.3
Project F	0.33	1.00	46%	\$ 1,727,142	\$ 4,269,000	10	2.7
Project G	0.17	3.33	24%	\$ 821,014	\$ 9,720,000	10	0.5
Project L	1.33	2.67	23%	\$ 3,312,307	\$ 14,758,000	10	1.5
Project M	0.33	1.00	31%	\$ 1,168,799	\$ 4,317,000	10	1.8
Project P	0.00	1.33	57%	\$ 419,847	\$ 2,519,000	10	1.1
Project V	0.00	2.83	44%	\$ 681,610	\$ 2,033,000	10	2.2
Project W	0.17	0.17	22%	\$ 369,082	\$ 597,000	10	4.1
Project AB	0.33	0.17	48%	\$ 1,566,499	\$ 1,944,000	10	5.4
Project AN	0.33	0.67	49%	\$ 1,734,007	\$ 1,782,000	10	6.5
Project AS	0.00	0.83	34%	\$ 154,140	\$ 534,000	10	1.9
Project AT	0.00	0.33	16%	\$ 28,809	\$ 150,000	10	1.2
Total	4.83	25.83	-	\$ 24,156,525	\$ 76,565,000	-	3.16

Systemic Left Turn Evaluation

- Additionally, 8 intersections were identified for protected left turns. These intersections are 1 fatal per year and 5.33 serious injuries per year.
- Improving these intersections is expected to cost \$3,146,000.
- The benefit over a 10-year lifecycle is \$123,268,520.
- The benefit cost ratio is 26.2.







injuries per year







Tracking and Monitoring



When Will We Get There?



How often/to what extent?



Set Targets Per Strategy:

Example

- 1 location/year
- 1 education campaign effort/quarter



Based targets, each strategy can be measures for effectiveness

30% reduction by 2030

















